

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,253	09/09/2003		Akihisa Nakajima	KON-1822	4123
20311	7590	03/24/2006		EXAM	INER
LUCAS &	MERCA	NTI, LLP	CHEA,	CHEA, THORL	
475 PARK A	VENUE	SOUTH			
15TH FLOO	R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	K, NY 10	0016	1752		
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>W</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,253	NAKAJIMA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thorl Chea	1752
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS at cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 D</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-8 and 10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 6-8, 10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification fail to discloses the copolymer is produced by pearl polymerization. The specification discloses the polymer containing at least 20 mole % of monomer represented by formula (1) and this polymer is polymerized by pearl polymerization. See for instance the polymer bead on page 23, second paragraph and page 7, third paragraph. The specification discloses the use of monomer (i) and (ii) to form a polymer using a pearl polymerization, rather than the copolymer having component (i) and (ii). The specification as originally filed fails to discloses the limitation "the polymer layer is provided as a back coating layer on the side of the support opposite the photosensitive layer and the polymer layer comprising the copolymer of (i) and (ii).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

Application/Control Number: 10/658,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 1752 -

applicant regards as the invention. The use of the term "polymer layer" appears to be not consistent with the specification disclosure. The specification on page 8 discloses the term "polymer containing layer is provides as a backed coating layer". It is understood from the specification that the polymer containing layer contains a binder and polymer beads containing the monomer of (i) and (ii). However, the invention as claimed fails to reflect the scope of the polymer containing (i) and (ii), but copolymer (i) and (ii). Therefore, there is an inconsistency between the term "polymer layer" and "copolymer" presented in the claims and in the specification. The specification discloses the term "polymer layer" since that layer contains the polymer forming by (i) and (ii). Claim 4 is unclear with the respect to the structural relationship between the polymer layer and the support.

5. Claim 4 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The term "outermost layer on the support" fails to further limit the "back coating layer" in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,253

Art Unit: 1752

7. Claims 1-4, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over the combination of Sampei (US Patent No. 6,190,854) and Heitz et al (US 3,681,269).

Sampei discloses a material having a thermally developable material having fluorine surfactant which is a (meth)acrylate polymer which has fluorinate alkyl group on its side chains, and which has a number a layer average molecule weight of not more than 30,000 in terms of standard polystyrene conversion and more preferably from 2,000 to 10,000; the fluorine containing surfactant is incorporate in any of image forming layer, component layer, or the secondary component layer, but preferably a layer provide on the image forming layer side, or in an outermost layer provided opposite of said image forming layer, for example protective layer. See column 5, lines 47-67, column 6, formula (A-a), (A-b), A-1 to A-7; columns 7-13, formulae A-8 to A-65; (meth) acrylate structural unit of the (meth)acrylate polymer having the alkyl group of formulate in columns 15-16, C-1 to C-19; columns 17-18, C-20 to D1-17; Table 1 in column 19, and Example in columns 39-40, Table 2. such as sample 106. The (meth)acrylate structural unit of the (meth)acrylate polymer is considered as hydrophobic group within the meaning of monomer claimed in the present claimed invention. and Heitz et al (US 3,681,269) in column 3. lines 70-75 to column 4, lines 1-20, discloses a polymerization process that can carried out by emulsion or by precipitation or pearl polymerization. The pearl polymerization is preferred because of the particle size of the swellable polymer can be controlled from the start. therefore avoiding losses which arise by comminution and sieving. The use of acrylates or methacrylates as starting material is shown in column 2, lines 50-73. Sampei discloses the polymer containing a copolymer and copolymer having molecular weight of not more than 3000, but fails to mention the process for forming thereof such as pearl polymerization process, but the

pearl polymerization has been known in Heitz et al as preferred process because of the particle size of the swellable polymer can be controlled. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art to form a fluorinated polymer taught in Simpei with a known pearl polymerization process and incorporate in the outermost layer provided opposite of said image forming layer with an expectation of achieving a material with excellent stability over passage of time as well as stable developability, and thereby provide a material as claimed.

8. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sampei (US Patent No. 6,190,854) as applied to claims 1-4, 6-8 above, and further in view of Artimoto et al (US Patent No. 6,475,697). The tin oxide has been known to be used in the electrically conductive layer for photothermographic material and taught in Arimoto et al in column 18, lines 9-22. It would have been obvious to the worker of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the tin oxide taught in Arimoto et al to improve the antistatic property of the material taught in Yonkoshi et al, and thereby provide a material as claimed.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed December 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reason set forth the rejection above. It is still the Examiner's position that the invention as claimed is either anticipated by or found obvious over the combination of Sampei (US Patent No. 6,190,854) and Heitz et al (US 3,681,269) and the Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 submitted on December 27, 2005 fails to overcome the prima facie case of obviousness rejection set forth above. The results presented in the Declaration can be achieved only by the use of the polymer beads in combination with a preferred binder such as "cellulose acetate propionate" which is a preferred cellulose esters that exhibiting a relatively softening

Application/Control Number: 10/658,253

Art Unit: 1752

temperature. See page specification disclosure on page 36, first paragraph where cellulose esters

Page 6

are preferred. The Declaration is therefore is not commensurate with the scope of the claimed

invention. The scope of the polymer layer presented in the claimed encompasses the scope of

any binder taught in Sampei including those taught in column 27, lines 1-20.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thorl Chea whose telephone number is (571) 272-1328. The

examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (571)272-1526. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tchea tin March 18, 2006 Thorl Chea

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1752